# FINAL RULE TECHNICAL SUPPORT DOCUMENT (TSD): ENERGY EFFICIENCY STANDARDS FOR CONSUMER PRODUCTS: CLOTHES WASHERS

Including: Environmental Assessment Regulatory Impact Analysis

#### December 2000



# **U.S. Department of Energy**

Assistant Secretary, Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy Office of Building Research and Standards Washington, DC 20585

This document was prepared for the Department of Energy by staff members of the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and Arthur D. Little, Inc.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

### **CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION**

1.1	OVE	RVIEW	. 1-1	
1.2	STRU	JCTURE OF THE DOCUMENT	. 1-2	
СНА	PTER 2	2. ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK		
2.1	BACE	KGROUND	. 2-2	
2.2	MAR	KET AND TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT	. 2-2	
	2.2.1	Market Assessment	. 2-2	
	2.2.2	Technology Assessment	. 2-4	
	2.2.3	Base Case Shipments Forecast		
2.3	SCRE	EENING ANALYSIS	. 2-4	
	2.3.1	Product Classes	. 2-4	
	2.3.2	Baseline Units	. 2-4	
	2.3.3	Design Options/Efficiency Levels	. 2-5	
	2.3.4	Proprietary Designs	. 2-5	
2.4	ENGI	NEERING ANALYSIS	. 2-5	
	2.4.1	Energy Savings Potential and Manufacturing Costs	. 2-5	
2.5	LIFE-CYCLE COST (LCC) AND PAYBACK ANALYSES			
	2.5.1	LCC Spreadsheet Model	. 2-7	
	2.5.2	Price	. 2-7	
		2.5.2.1 Retail Price	. 2-7	
2.6	NATI	ONAL IMPACTS ANALYSIS	. 2-8	
	2.6.1	National Energy Savings (NES) Spreadsheet Model	. 2-8	
	2.6.2	Shipments	. 2-9	
	2.6.3	Net National Employment Impacts	. 2-9	
2.7	CONS	SUMER ANALYSIS	. 2-9	
	2.7.1	Purchase Price Increases	2-10	
	2.7.2	Consumer Participation	2-10	
2.8	MAN	UFACTURER IMPACT ANALYSIS	2-10	
	2.8.1	Industry Cash Flow	2-11	
	2.8.2	Manufacturer Sub-Group Analysis	2-12	
	2.8.3			
2.9	COM	PETITIVE IMPACT ASSESSMENT	2-13	
2.10		ITY ANALYSIS		
2.11	ENVI	RONMENTAL ASSESSMENT	2-15	
2.12	REGU	JLATORY IMPACT ANALYSIS	2-15	

#### MARKET AND TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT CHAPTER 3. 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 RETAILERS ..... 3-5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 VOLUNTARY PROGRAMS (TO INCREASE WASHER EFFICIENCY / 3.10 3.11. INVENTORY LEVELS AND CAPACITY UTILIZATION RATES ............. 3-17 3.12 3.13 ENERGY EFFICIENCY ...... 3-18 3.14 DETERMINING MEF VALUES FOR VERTICAL AXIS COMPACT CLOTHES 3.15 WASHERS ...... 3-19 **CHAPTER 4. ENGINEERING ANALYSIS** 4.1 4.2 4.3 BASELINE UNIT ...... 4-1 4.4 DATA AGGREGATION ......4-5 4.5 CHAPTER 5. MANUFACTURING COST ASSESSMENT PROGRAM OBJECTIVES 5-1 5.1 MANUFACTURING COST ESTIMATES AND ASSUMPTIONS ................ 5-1 5.2

5.3 5.4

5.4.2

# **CHAPTER 6. MARK-UPS FOR PRICE DETERMINATION**

6.1	OVE	RVIEW	6-1
6.2	RETA	AIL MARK-UPS	6-2
	6.2.1	Background	6-2
	6.2.2	Retail Price Information	6-3
		6.2.2.1 Consumer Expenditure Surveys (CES)	6-3
		6.2.2.2 Dealerscope Merchandising Statistical and Marketing Reports	6-4
		6.2.2.3 ELCAP Clothes Washer Sales Information	6-5
	6.2.3	Manufacturer Price Information	
		6.2.3.1 Current Industrial Reports (CIR)	
		6.2.3.2 AHAM Fact Book	6-6
	6.2.4	Retail Mark-ups from Manufacturer and Retail Price Information	
	6.2.5	Retail Mark-ups Estimated from Sec's Financial Statements	6-8
6.3	SALE	ES TAX	6-10
6.4	MAN	UFACTURER MARK-UPS	6-10
	6.4.1	Background	
	6.4.2	Manufacturer Price and Mark-up for the Baseline Standard Clothes Washe	
	6.4.3	Manufacturer Price and Mark-ups for Different Efficiency Levels	
	0.4.3	6.4.3.1 Pre-ANOPR Analysis	
		6.4.3.2 NOPR Analysis	
СНА	PTER 7	7. LIFE-CYCLE COSTS AND PAYBACK PERIOD	
7.1	INTR	ODUCTION	7-1
	7.1.1	General Approach for LCC and Distribution PBP	
7.2	LIFE-	·CYCLE COST (LCC)	7-2
	7.2.1	Definition	7-2
	7.2.2	LCC Inputs	7-2
		7.2.2.1 Purchase Expense	7-3
		7.2.2.2 Lifetime	7-4
		7.2.2.3 Cycles Per Year	7-5
		7.2.2.4 Energy Per Cycle	7-6
		7.2.2.5 Electricity Marginal Prices	7-7
		7.2.2.6 Gas Marginal Prices	7-11
		7.2.2.7 Oil Prices	7-14
		7.2.2.8 LPG (propane) Prices	7-15
		7.2.2.9 Water Per Cycle	7-16
		7.2.2.10 Water and Wastewater Removal Prices	7-17

		7.2.2.11	Discount Rate	7-21
		7.2.2.12	Fuel Use of Water Heater and Clothes Dryer	
		7.2.2.13	Year to Start Date	
		7.2.2.14	Base Case Design	7-25
		7.2.2.15	Standard Case Design	
	7.2.3	LCC Result	s	
7.3	DIST	RIBUTION P	AYBACK PERIOD	7-34
	7.3.1			
	7.3.2			
	7.3.3	Results		7-35
7.4	REBU	JTTABLE PB	BP	7-39
	7.4.1	Metric		7-39
	7.4.2	Inputs		7-40
	7.4.3	Preliminary	Results	7-41
7.5	USER		IONS FOR SPREADSHEET	
	7.5.1		he Life-Cycle Cost (LCC) Spreadsheet Do?	
	7.5.2		e Worksheets in the Workbook?	
	7.5.3		the User Operate the Spreadsheet?	
	7.5.4		Lcc (Sample Calculation) Sheet Used For?	7-44
	7.5.5		the User Run the Crystal Ball Simulation?	
		(LCC Distri	(bution Sheet)	7-44
	7.5.6	What Kind	of Output Does Crystal Ball Generate?	7-45
СПА	PTER 8	cor	NSUMER ANALYSIS	
СПА	PIEK	<b>5.</b> CO1	NSUMER ANALISIS	
8.1			TO CONSUMER ISSUES	
8.2			AND CONJOINT ANALYSIS	
	8.2.1	Results of F	Focus Groups and Conjoint Analysis	8-2
8.3			-GROUP ANALYSIS	
	8.3.1		Cost & Payback Results for Subgroups	
	8.3.2	Data Used i	n the Consumer Subgroup Analysis	8-9
СНА	PTER 9	O. CLOTHE	S WASHER SHIPMENTS MODEL: REVISED MODE	L
9.1	OVEI	RVIEW		9-1
	9.1.1		of Market Segments and Ownership Categories in the	
		Shipments I	Model	
	9.1.2	Recent Enha	ancements of Shipments Model	9-5
	9.1.3	Review of C	Other Published Research	9-6

9.2	METI	HOD	. 9-7
	9.2.1	Definitions	
		9.2.1.1 Stock	
		9.2.1.2 Events	
		9.2.1.3 Decisions	
	9.2.2	Purchases from New Housing and Housing Moves	
	>.2.2	9.2.2.1 Definition	
		9.2.2.2 Approach	
		9.2.2.3 Current Assumptions	
	9.2.3	Existing Housing with Regular Washers	
	7.2.3	9.2.3.1 Definition	
		9.2.3.2 Approach	
		9.2.3.3 Current Assumptions	
	9.2.4	Households without Clothes Washers	
	J.∠. <del>⊤</del>	9.2.4.1 Definition	
		9.2.4.2 Approach	
		9.2.4.3 Current Assumptions	
	9.2.5	Households with Extended-Life Washers	
	7.2.3	9.2.5.1 Definition	
		9.2.5.2 Approach	
	9.2.6	Accounting Equations	
9.3		EL	
7.5	9.3.1	Logit Probability of Purchase Model	
	9.5.1	9.3.1.1 Logit Equation	
		9.3.1.2 Utility Coefficients and Elasticity	
	9.3.2	Clothes Washer Consumer Analysis	
	9.3.3	Stated vs. Revealed Preferences	
	9.3.4	Determination of Decision Sensitivities	
	9.3. <del>4</del>	9.3.4.1 Savings/Operating Cost Sensitivity	
		9.3.4.2 Features Sensitivity	
	9.3.5	Calibration of Shipments Model	
	9.3.5	<del>-</del>	. 9-30 . 9-32
9.4	9.5.0 RESU	$\mathcal{C}$ 1	
9.4	9.4.1	Sales impacts of Standards-Induced price changes	
	9.4.1		
		9.4.1.1 New Clothes Washers	
	0.4.2	9.4.1.2 Used Clothes Washers	9-30
0.5	9.4.2		0.27
9.5	CONC	CLUSIONS	. 9-37
CHA	PTER 1	10. NATIONAL ENERGY IMPACTS AND REGULATORY IMPACT	
		ESTIMATES	
10.1	INTR	ODUCTION	10-1
10.1		Methodology and Definitions	

10.2	NATIONAL ENER	GY/WATER SAVINGS (NES)	10-2
	10.2.1 Definition .	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	10-2
	10.2.2.1	Annual Energy Consumption (AEC)	10-4
	10.2.2.2	National Energy Savings (NES)	
	10.2.2.3	Source Conversion Factor (src_conv)	
	10.2.2.4	Stock of Clothes Washers by Vintage (STOCK <sub>v</sub> )	10-6
	10.2.2.5	Cycles per Year (n <sub>cycle</sub> )	
	10.2.2.6	Energy per Cycle (UEC)	10-6
	10.2.2.7	Annual Water Consumption (AWC)	10-9
	10.2.2.8	Water per Cycle (UWC)	
	10.2.2.8	Clothes Washer Shipments	
	10.2.2.10	Voluntary Programs	10-10
10.3	NET PRESENT VA	ALUE (NPV)	
	10.3.1 NPV Metric		10-11
	10.3.2 NPV Inputs		10-12
	10.3.2.1	Discount Factor	
	10.3.2.2	Present Value of Costs (PVC)	10-12
	10.3.2.3	Present Value of Savings (PVS)	
	10.3.2.4	Net Equipment Cost	
	10.3.2.5	Total Operating Cost Savings	
10.4	OUTPUT FOR NES	S & NPV	
		S	
	-	hipments	
		ergy Savings and Net Present Value from Possible Standard	
10.5		ONS FOR NES/SHIPMENTS SPREADSHEET	
	10.5.1 BASIC OPE	RATING INSTRUCTIONS	10-18
CITA		EA CITAIDED IMDA CIT A NAT VICIO	
CHA	PIEKII. MANUI	FACTURER IMPACT ANALYSIS	
11.1	MANUFACTURE	R IMPACT ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY	11-1
	11.1.1 Phase 1: Ind	ustry Profile	11-1
	11.1.2 Phase 2: Pre	liminary Industry Cash Flow Analysis	11-2
	11.1.3 Phase 3: Sub	o-Group Impact Analysis	11-2
	11.1.4 Small Manu	facturer Sub-Group	11-3
11.2		GRIM	
	11.2.1 Overview of	GRIM Spreadsheet	11-4
		outs to the GRIM	
	11.2.2.1	Tax Rate	
	11.2.2.2	Working Capital	
	11.2.2.3	Selling, General and Administration (SG&A)	
	11.2.2.4	Research and Development (R&D)	
	11.2.2.5	Depreciation	

	11.2.2.6	Capital Expenditures	11-10
	11.2.2.7	Cost of Capital/Discount Rate	11-10
	11.2.3 GRIM Shipi	ments	
	11.2.3.1	Impact of New Energy-Efficiency Standards for Clothes	
		Washers on Export Sales	11-24
	11.2.4 Manufacturi	ng Costs	
	11.2.4.1	Baseline Industry Cost Structure	
11.3	IMPACTS ON CAS	SH FLOW	
	11.3.1 Base Case N	IPV	11-27
	11.3.2 Standard Ca	se NPV	11-28
	11.3.2.1	Impact of Standards on Manufacturer Prices and Profit Margins	11-28
	11.3.2.2	Impact of New Energy-Efficiency Standards on Manufac	turing
		Costs	11-29
	11.3.2.3	Definition of Business Scenarios	11-31
	11.3.2.4	"No Consolidation" Scenario	11-31
	11.3.2.5	Consolidation Scenario	11-34
11.4	IMPACT ON CLO	THES DRYER BUSINESS	11-36
	11.4.1 Dryer Emplo	oyment Impacts	11-39
11.5	CLOTHES WASHI	ER REPAIR INDUSTRY ANALYSIS	11-41
	11.5.1 Key Assum	otions	11-41
	11.5.2 Impact of N	ew Energy Efficiency Standards on the Repair Industry	11-42
11.6		NUFACTURING CAPACITY	
	11.6.1 Manufacturi	ng Facilities	11-45
	11.6.2 The Impact	of New Energy Efficiency Standards on the Production	
	Facility Mix		11-45
	11.6.3 The Impact	of New Energy Efficiency Standards on Capacity Utilization	n and
	Manufacturi	ng Assets Levels at Domestic Production Facilities	11-47
	11.6.3.1	Assumption 1: Capital Structure (% of Revenue) Remain	ıS
		Unchanged	11-48
	11.6.3.2	Assumption 2: The Per Unit Clothes Washer Capital	
		Requirements Are Unchanged	
11.7	IMPACT OF THE	EFFECTIVE DATE ON NEW STANDARD	11-50
	11.7.1 The Impact	of a Delay in the Effective Date on Cash Flow	11-51
	11.7.1.1	Discounting	11-51
	11.7.1.2	Ordinary Capital Expenditures Assumption	11-51
	11.7.1.3	R&D Assumption	
	11.7.2 The Impact	of a Delay in Effective Date on Dryer and Clothes Washer	
	Repair Busin	nesses	11-53
11.8		LL MANUFACTURERS	
	11.8.2 Small Manu	facturer Modeling Approach	11-55
	11.8.2.1	Price Assumption	
	11.8.2.2	<u> </u>	

	11.8.2.3 Product Conversion Cost Assumption	11-56
	11.8.2.4 Capital Investment Assumption	11-56
	11.8.2.5 Summary of Key Assumptions	11-57
	11.8.3 Summary of Results	11-58
	11.8.4 Other Impacts	11-59
11.9	EMPLOYMENT IMPACTS	
	11.9.1 Impact on Workforce Skills Required Under New Energy Efficiency	
	Standards	
11.10	CUMULATIVE REGULATORY BURDEN	11-63
	11.10.1 Regulations on Other Products Produced by Clothes Washer	
	Manufacturers	11-63
	11.10.2 Other Regulations	11-66
11.11	IMPACT ON SUPPLIERS	11-66
CHAI	PTER 12. UTILITY IMPACTS ANALYSIS	
12.1	SUMMARY	12-1
12.2	PURPOSE OF THE ANALYSIS	
12.3	ASSUMPTIONS	
12.4	METHODS	
12.5	RESULTS	
CHAI	PTER 13. NET NATIONAL EMPLOYMENT	
13.1	NET NATIONAL EMPLOYMENT	13-1
13.2	INPUTS TO NET NATIONAL EMPLOYMENT SPREADSHEET	
	APPENDICES	
APPE	ENDIX A. CLOTHES WASHER MANUFACTURERS	
APPE	ENDIX B. COMPACT MEF VALUES	
	B.1 INTRODUCTION	B-1
	B.2 BACKGROUND	B-1
	B.3 INSTRUCTIONS FOR TEST PROCEDURE SPREADSHEET	
	[Compact_MEF_value.xls]	
	B.4 DEFINITIONS	
	B.5 SAMPLE RESULTS FOR DETERMINING MEF VALUES	B-4

APPENDIX (	C. <b>DEVEL</b> C	OPMENT OF A STANDARDIZED ENERGY TEST CLOTI	H
APPENDIX I	D. MANUFA	ACTURING COST ASSESSMENT - BILL OF MATERIAL	_S
D.1	BILL OF MA	TERIALS (BOM)	D-1
D.2	<b>FABRICATION</b>	ON PROCESSES	D-3
D.2.1	Assembly Pro	ocesses	D-5
APPENDIX 1	E. APPROA	ACH FOR UNCERTAINTY AND VARIABILITY	
E.1	INTRODUCT	ΓΙΟΝ	. E-1
E.2	UNCERTAIN	NTY	. E-1
E.3		Υ	
E.4	APPROACH	ES TO UNCERTAINTY AND VARIABILITY	. E-2
APPENDIX 1		AND WASTEWATER PRICES	
F.1	SOURCE OF	INFORMATION	. F-1
F.2		D DETERMINE HISTORICAL WATER AND WASTEWATE	
F.3		ΓATION INTO LCC SPREADSHEET	
1.5	IVII ELIVILIN	TATION INTO LEC STREADSHELT	, 1 <del>- 4</del>
<b>APPENDIX</b>	G. LIFE-CY	CLE-COST RESULTS	
G.1	LIFE-CYCLE	E-COST RESULTS	G-1
APPENDIX 1	H. PAYBA	CK PERIOD RESULTS	
H.1	PAYBAG	CK PERIOD RESULTS	H-1
APPENDIX 1	I CONSII	MER RESEARCH REPORT	
I.1		OUCTION	I_2
I.2		ES OF INFORMATION	
I.3		ES AND PROJECTS	
1.0	I.3.1	Major Studies by Consortiums	
	1.5.1	I.3.1.2 THELMA (The High-Efficiency Laundry	
		Metering & Marketing Analysis Project)	. I-2
		I.3.1.3 THELMA Task 2.1 Final Report	
		I.3.1.4 THELMA Task 2.3 Draft	
		I3.1.5 THELMA Task 4 Draft	
		I.3.1.6 THELMA, Final Report	. I-8
	I.3.2	Bern / Kansas Study	
	I.3.3	WashWise	
	I.3.4	Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnership (NEEP)	
I.4	INDIVID	DUAL UTILITY DEMAND SIDE MANAGEMENT/MARKET	
	TRANSI	FORMATION PROGRAMS	I-15
	I.4.1	Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E) — Utility Survey	I-15
	I.4.2	Commonwealth Electric — Utility Survey	I-15
	143	Consumer Publications	I_16

	I.4.3.1 Consumer Reports/Consumers Union	. I-16
	I.4.3.2 Consumer — New Zealand publication	. I-16
	I.4.3.3 Choice – (Australian Consumer Magazine)	. I-17
I.5	TRADE ORGANIZATIONS	
	I.5.1 The Soap and Detergent Association (SDA)	. I-17
	I.5.2 Arthur D. Little (ADL) Report on behalf of AHAM, 1991	. I-18
	I.5.3 EPRI (Electric Power Research Institute) Journal	. I-19
	I.5.4 Fort Hood Demonstration Study (metering study)	
I.6	GOVERNMENT PROJECTS	
	I.6.1 Energy Star Program	. I-20
	I.6.2 Commercial Laundry Field Study	
	I.6.3 MacDill Air Force Base	
	I.6.4 Fort Hood Demonstration Study (metering study)	. I-23
	I.6.5 Fort Hood Government Procurement (DOD)	
APPENDIX J.	CLOTHES WASHER CONSUMER ANALYSIS	
J.1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	T 1
J.1 J.2	FOCUS GROUPS	
J.3	CONJOINT ANALYSIS	
J.3 J.4	RESULTS	
J.5	CONCLUSIONS	
J.6	METHODOLOGY	
3.0	J.6.1 Introduction	
	J.6.2 Focus Groups	
	J.6.3 Conjoint Analysis	
	J.6.4 Summary	
J.7	FOCUS GROUP RESULTS	
J. /	J.7.1 Introduction	
J.8	CONJOINT SESSION SURVEY RESULTS	
3.0	J.8.1 Introduction	
	J.8.2 Conjoint session Survey Results	
	J.8.2.3 Demographics	
J.9	CONJOINT ANALYSIS RESULTS	
3.7	J.9.1 Introduction	
J.10	FOCUS GROUP ATTRIBUTE RESULTS	
J.11	TOP 5 ATTRIBUTES FROM CONJOINT ANALYSIS SESSIONS	
J.12	CONJOINT SESSIONS SURVEY WITH RESPONSE FREQUENCIES	
J.13	CONJOINT ANALYSIS CARDS AND INSTRUCTIONS	
J.14	INSTRUCTIONS	
J.1T	J.14.1 Clothes Washers	
J.15	CONJOINT ANALYSIS COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES	
J.16	ELASTICITY CALCULATIONS	
0.10		

APPE	NDIX K.	LIFE-CYCLE-COST RESULTS FOR CONSUMER SUBGROUPS	}
APPE	NDIX L.	PAYBACK PERIOD RESULTS FOR CONSUMER SUBGROUPS	ı
		SUPPLEMENTAL SHIPMENTS FORECAST CHARTS	
		INTRODUCTION	√l-1
		NATIONAL ENERGY SAVINGS (NES) ELASTICITY	NT 1
	N.1	INTRODUCTION	N-1
APPE	NDIX O.	MANUFACTURER INTERVIEW GUIDE	
	O.1	INTRODUCTION	
	O.2	CURRENT ORGANIZATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS	O-1
	O.3	INDUSTRY INFRASTRUCTURE	
	O.4	MANUFACTURER CASH FLOW ANALYSIS	0-2
	O.5	COMPETITIVE IMPACTS ASSESSMENT	0-4
	0.6	EMPLOYMENT IMPACTS ASSESSMENT	
	O.7	MANUFACTURING CAPACITY IMPACTS ASSESSMENT	0-4
	0.8	CUMULATIVE IMPACT OF REGULATION	O-5
APPE	NDIX P.	GOVERNMENT REGULATORY IMPACT MODEL (GRIM)	
	P.1.1	INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE	P-1
	P.1.2	MODEL DESCRIPTION	P-1
	P.1.3	INCOME STATEMENT	P-5
	P.1.4	MAJOR ASSUMPTIONS	P-7
	P.2.	EXHIBITS	-12
APPE	NDIX Q.	LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	
APPEN	NDIX R	LIFE-CYCLE-COST AND NATIONAL ENERGY SAVINGS USING	
		THE MOST CURRENT RECS 1997 AND AEO 2000 DATA	
R.1	INTROE	OUCTION	R-1
		How Updating RECS and AEO Data Affects the Analysis	
	11111	R.1.1.1 Input Parameter Changes to LCC / Payback Spreadsheet	
		R.1.1.2 Input Parameter Changes to NES / Shipment Spreadsheet	
R.2	LIFE-CY	CLE-COST & PAYBACK PERIOD	
	R.2.1	Life-Cycle-Cost Results	
	R.2.2	Distribution Payback Results	
	R.2.3	Rebuttable Payback Period Results	
	R.2.4	Comparison of LCC & Payback Period Results	
		NAL ENERGY SAVINGS (NES)	
	R.3.1	National Energy and Water Savings Results	
	R.3.2	Comparison of NES Results for AEO99/RECS93 and AEO2000/RECS97 Inp	
	<b>-</b>		R-8

### ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FOR RESIDENTIAL CLOTHES WASHERS

ENVI	RONMENT	AL ASSESSMENT	
	1.	SUMMARY EA-	-1
	2.	PURPOSE OF THE ANALYSIS EA-	-2
	3.	ASSUMPTIONS EA-	-3
	4.	METHODS EA-	-3
		4.1 Carbon EA-	-3
		4.2 Power Sector $NO_X$ EA-	-3
		4.3 Power Sector $SO_2$ EA-	-4
		4.4 Household Emissions	-4
		4.5 Fuel-Cycle Emissions EA-	-4
		4.6 Interpolation	-4
		4.7 Extrapolation EA-	-5
		4.8 Water Usage Reduction EA-	-5
	5.	RESULTS EA-	-5
		5.1 Power Sector Emissions	-5
		5.2 Residential Sector Emissions	-8
		5.3 Power and Residential Sector Emissions	1
		5.4 Fuel-Cycle Emissions EA-1	1
		5.5 Reduction in Water Use EA-1	2
		5.6 High and Low Sensitivity Analysis EA-1	2
	6.	CONCLUSION EA-1	6
APPE	NDIX EA.1	EMISSION FACTORS FOR COMBUSTION FROM NATURAL GAS LPG, AND OIL FIRED RESIDENTIAL WATER HEATERS AND CLOTHES DRYERS	5,
APPE	NDIX EA.2	UPSTREAM EMISSION FACTORS FROM COAL AND NATURAL GAS PRODUCTION	
APPE	NDIX EA.3	INTERPOLATION OF UTILITY AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESULTS FROM NEMS-BRS OUTPUT	
REGU	JLATORY I	MPACT ANALYSIS	
1. 2.		TION RIA- LOGY RIA- No New Regulatory Action RIA- Enhanced Public Education RIA-	-1 -3

	2.3	Financial Incentives	RIA-4
		2.3.1 Tax Credits to Consumers	RIA-4
		2.3.2 Tax Credits to Manufacturers	RIA-5
		2.3.3 Consumer Rebates	RIA-7
		2.3.4 Low Income and Seniors Subsidy	RIA-8
	2.4	Voluntary Efficiency Targets	RIA-8
		2.4.1 Voluntary 5 year delay	
		2.4.2 Voluntary 10 year delay	
	2.5	Mass Government Purchases	RIA-9
	2.6	Early Replacement Programs	RIA-9
		2.6.1 Early Replacement with existing efficiency washers	
		2.6.2 Early Replacement with Washer having a 35% Reduction in Energy Use	
	2.7	Performance Standards	:IA-10
3.	RESUI	LTS R	:IA-11
LIST	OF TAI	BLES	
Table	2.1	Comparison of 1994 and 2000 Non-Regulatory Alternatives to Standards	. 2-15
Table		Market Shares (%) and Consolidation in the Washer Industry, 1977-1998	
Table		Number of Establishments in Household Laundry Equipment (SIC 3633)	
Table		Industry Unit Shipments	
Table		Washer Shipments by Access	
Table		Washer Sales by Type of Outlet	
Table		Clothes Washer Unit Sales by Price Range	
Table		Producer Price Index, Annual Average (1982=100)	3-7
Table	3.8	Consumer Price Index (All Urban Consumers); Annual Average	
		(1982-1984 = 100)	
Table		Washer Characteristics (1995)	
Table		Brand Names, Price Level and Market Share of Major Manufacturers	
Table	3.11	Washer Market Share by Brand	. 3-12
Table	3.12	Market Saturation by Fuel Type Among Housing Units with	
		Clothes Washers	
Table	3.13	Employment and Earnings	
Table	3.14	Information from the 1992 and 1997 Census of Manufactures	. 3-15
Table	3.15	Summary of SEC 10-K Reports of Appliance Manufacturers	. 3-16
Table	3.16	End-of-year Inventory, 1977-1997	
Table	3.17	Full Production Capacity Utilization Rates* (%)	
Table	3.18	Product Characteristics of Clothes Washer Tested	
Table		Summary of Appendix J and J1 Test Results	

Table 3.20	Estimated MEF Values for 1.56 and 1.96 Cubic foot Clothes Washers 3-20
Table 4.1	Energy-use Data
Table 4.2	Aggregated Cost Data using "Most Likely" Values 4-8
Table 4.3	Industry Cost Distributions for 5%, 10%, 15% 20% and 25% Improvements
	Applied to an Existing V-axis Clothes Washer
Table 4.4	Industry Cost Distributions for 35%, 40%, 45% and 50% Improvements
	Applied to a H-axis Clothes Washer
Table 5.1	Summary of Clothes Washers Analyzed
Table 5.2	Key Manufacturing Assumptions
Table 5.3	Assumptions for High / Low-Volume Manufacturer
Table 5.4	Major Manufacturing Processes
Table 5.5	Greenfield Investment for and Depreciation Life of Plant, Equipment 5-9
Table 5.6	Summary of Sensitivity Analysis
Table 5.7	Greenfield Investment for Annual Production Volume
	of 1.5 & 0.3 Million Units 5-14
Table 6.1	Retail Prices of Clothes Washers from 1986 through 1994 obtained from the
	Consumer Expenditure Surveys
Table 6.2	Retail Prices of Clothes Washers from 1986 through 1995 estimated from
	Dealerscope Merchandising's Annual Statistical Reviews 6-4
Table 6.3	Shipment Weighted Retail Prices of Clothes Washers from 1994 through
	1997 Based on ELCAP Retail Sales Data 6-5
Table 6.4	Manufacturer Prices of Clothes Washers from 1986 through 1996 Estimated
	from Current Industrial Reports
Table 6.5	Manufacturer Prices of Clothes Washers from 1986 Through 1996 Estimated
	Using Data from the Aham Fact Book 6-6
Table 6.6	Retail Mark-ups for Clothes Washers from 1986 Through 1994 Using Retail
	Prices from the Consumer Expenditure Surveys, and Manufacturer Prices
	from the Current Industrial Reports 6-7
Table 6.7	Retail Mark-ups Estimated from Different Data Sources 6-8
Table 6.8	Gross Margins for Retailers of Electronics, Home Office Equipment and
	Major Appliances
Table 6.9	Manufacturing Cost of a Baseline Clothes Waher Estimated from the
	Industry Cost Structure 6-11
Table 7.1	Quantities in LCC Spreadsheet
Table 7.2	Cycles per Year for Persons per Household
Table 7.3	Summary of Nominal Interest Rates
Table 7.4	Distribution of Discount Rates
Table 7.5	Shares in Households of Water Heaters and Dryers by Fuel Type 7-24
Table 7.6	Summary of LCC Results for the Reference Case

Table 7.7	Summary of Life-cycle Cost Results – AEO High Growth & High Water
Table 7.8	Escalation Rate
1 aute 7.0	Escalation Rate
Table 7.9	Summary of Payback Period Results—AEO Reference
Table 7.10	Summary of Payback Period Results—AEO High Growth & Water
	Escalation Rate
Table 7.11	Summary of Payback Period Results –AEO Low Growth & Water
	Escalation Rate
Table 7.12	Rebuttable Presumption Payback in Years
Table 8.1	Consumer Subgroup LCC Savings and Percent of Households Benefitting 8-4
Table 8.2	Consumer Subgroup Payback Period Comparisons 8-5
Table 8.3	Summary of LCC Results – Low Income 8-7
Table 8.4	Summary of LCC Results – Senior (head of household over 65) 8-7
Table 8.5	Summary of Payback Period Results – Low Income 8-8
Table 8.6	Summary of Payback Period Results – Senior
Table 8.7	Profile of RECS Households for Entire Sample and Subgroups 8-10
Table 10.1	National Energy/Water Saving Inputs
Table 10.2	Example of Average Electric Consumption for the Base Case
Table 10.3	Percent of Annual Clothes Washer Shipments having Energy Consumption
	Equivalent to Horizontal Axis Washers
Table 10.4	Net Present Value Inputs
Table 10.5	Assumptions Used for Generating Preliminary National Impacts 10-15
Table 10.6	Range of Energy Savings and NPV for Each Standard Level 10-16
Table 10.7	Reference Case – All Parameters Set to Medium or Average 10-16
Table 10.8	NES Results: proposed rule (MEF = 1.04 @ 2004 & MEF=1.26 @ 2007) 10-17
Table 10.9	Efficiency Level: Energy and Water Savings (proposed rule) 10-17
Table 10.10	National Energy Saving Quantities and their Locations in the National
	Energy Savings Spreadsheet
Table 10.11	Net Present Value Quantities and their Locations in the National Energy
	Savings Spreadsheet
Table 11.1	Average Income Tax Rates
Table 11.2	Average Working Capital as a Percentage of Revenues
Table 11.3	Average SG&A Expense as a Percentage of Revenues
Table 11.4	Average R&D Costs as a Percentage of Revenues
Table 11.5	Average Depreciation Expense as a Percentage of Revenue 11-9
Table 11.6	Average Capital Expenditures as a Percentage of Revenues
Table 11.7	Cost of Debt and Debt Ratio
Table 11.8	Average Rates of Return (1990-96) and Risk Premiums (1926-94) on
	Some Securities (figures in percent per year)

Table 11.9	Average Beta Values for Two Appliance Manufacturers	11-14
Table 11.10	Comparison of Financial Data	
Table 11.11	Clothes Washer Shipment Forecasts for the Base Case and Standard Case	
	(High Price Elasticity Shipment Scenario)	11-16
Table 11.12	Clothes Washer Shipment Forecasts for the Base Case and Standard Case	
	(Medium Price Elasticity Shipment Scenario)	11-18
Table 11.13	Clothes Washer Shipment Forecasts for the Base and Standard Case	
	(Medium Price/Income Elasticity Shipment Scenario)	11-20
Table 11.14	Percentage Decreases in Shipments	
Table 11.15	Annual Shipments of Clothes Washers from 1990 through 1996 (million).	
Table 11.16	Calculation of Calibration Factor for GRIM Shipment Forecast	
Table 11.17	Industry Average Baseline Cost Structure	
Table 11.18	Industry Cash Flow Results for the "No Consolidation" Scenario – High	
	Price Elasticity	11-32
Table 11.19	Industry Cash Flow Results for the "No Consolidation" Scenario – Medium	
	Price Elasticity	11-32
Table 11.20	Industry Cash Flow Results for the "No Consolidation" Scenario – Medium	
	Price/Income Elasticity	11-33
Table 11.21	Industry Cash Flow Results for the "Industry Consolidation" Scenario –	
	High Price Elasticity	11-34
Table 11.22	Industry Cash Flow Results for the "Industry Consolidation" Scenario –	
	Medium Price Elasticity	11-35
Table 11.23	Industry Cash Flow Results for the "Industry Consolidation" Scenario –	
	Medium Price/Income Elasticity	11-35
Table 11.24	Shipment-weighted Manufacturer Price of Dryers from 1990	
	through 1996	11-36
Table 11.25	Cost and Financial Information Used in the Dryer GRIM	
Table 11.26	Standard Case NPV for Dryer Business - High Price Elasticity Scenario	
	Efficiency Improvement	11-38
Table 11.27	Standard Case NPV for Dryer Business - Medium Price Elasticity Scenario	
	Efficiency Improvement	11-38
Table 11.28	Standard Case NPV for Dryer Business - Medium Price/Income Elasticity	
	Scenario	11-39
Table 11.29	Repair Industry Revenue Assumptions	
Table 11.30	Net Present Value of OEM Repair Revenues (\$ Millions)	
Table 11.31	Manufacturer Implied Impact of New Efficiency Standards on Production	
		11-46
Table 11.32	Clothes Washer Industry Property, Plant and Equipment Forecasts for the	
	Baseline and Trial Standard Level 4 (35 percent Efficiency Improvement).	11-48
Table 11.33	Estimate of Industry Stranded Assets (Assuming Industry Maintains	
	Current Cost Structure) (\$million)	11-49
Table 11.34	Estimate of Industry Stranded Assets (Assuming Industry Maintains	
	Current Value of Plant, Property and Equipment) (\$million)	11-50
Table 11.35	Stranded Assets as a percent of Pre-standard Assets	

Table 11.36	Standards-Related Design & Marketing Expenditures
Table 11.37	Base Year Shipments
Table 11.38	Change in Value of Small Manufacturers, Results for the "No
	Consolidation" Scenario - High Price Elasticity Scenario (%) 11-58
Table 11.39	Change in Value of Small Manufacturers, Results for the "No
	Consolidation" Scenario - Medium Price Elasticity Scenario (%) 11-58
Table 11.40	Change in Value of Small Manufacturers, Results for the "No
	Consolidation" Scenario - Medium Price/Income Elasticity Scenario (%) 11-58
Table 11.41	"Worst Case" Manufacturer Implied Impact of New Energy Efficiency
	Standards on Employment Levels resulting from Plant Closures* 11-60
Table 11.42	Expected Incremental Labor Costs at Various Efficiency Levels 11-61
Table 11.43	Future Regulations Affecting Appliance Manufacturers
Table 11.44	Market Shares of Major Clothes Washer Manufacturers in Other Products
	Faced with Future Regulations
Table 11.45	Estimated Impacts of Approaching Regulations on Clothes Washer
	Manufacturers
Table 11.46	Average Breakup of Raw Materials and Component Costs in U.S
	Manufactured Clothes Washers (as % of Total Materials Cost) 11-66
Table 12.1	AEO99 Reference Case Forecast
Table 12.2	MEF = 1.634 (50% Reduction in Energy Usage Forecast)
Table 12.3	MEF = 1.362 (40% Reduction in Energy Usage Forecast)
Table 12.4	MEF = 1.257 (35% Reduction in Energy Usage Forecast)
Table 12.5	MEF = 1.089 (25% Reduction in Energy Usage Forecast)
Table 12.6	MEF = 1.021 (20% Reduction in Energy Usage Forecast)
Table 12.7	MEF 1.04 in 2004 and 1.26 in 2007; 2-Tier Forecast
Table 12.8	MEF 1.04 in 2004 and 1.26 in 2007; 2-Tier High Elasticity Sensitivity
	Forecast
Table 12.9	MEF 1.04 in 2004 and 1.26 in 2007; 2-Tier Medium Price/Income
	Sensitivity Forecast
Table 12.10	MEF 1.04 in 2004 and 1.26 in 2007; 2-Tier High Economic Growth
	Forecast
Table 12.11	MEF 1.04 in 2004 and 1.26 in 2007; 2-Tier Low Economic Growth
	Forecast
Table B.1	Input Parameter Assumptions for Estimating MEFs from Energy Factors B-4
Table B.2	Estimated MEF Values for 1.56 and 1.96 Cubic Foot Clothes Washers B-5
Table D.1	Major Manufacturing Processes
Table F.1	Summary of Market Segments – Weighted RECS Households having a Washer
	and a Dryer
Table F.2	Marginal Prices and Escalation Rates F-10

Table J.1	Hypothetical Example – Conjoint Data Using the Purchase Card For Purchase
	Probability Estimation
Table J.2	Hypothetical Example - Individual Ranked Conjoint Data For Equipment Choice
	Estimation J-1
Table J.3	DOE Clothes Washer Focus Group Response Rates
Table J.4.	Focus Group Results Most Important Clothes Washer Attributes J-1
Table J.5	Top Twelve Clothes Washer Attributes Listed by Conjoint Respondents J-2
Table J.6	Sampling Results
Table J.7	Income Distribution
Table J.8	Ethnic Background of Respondents
Table J.9	Distribution of Educational Achievement
Table J.10	Distribution of Expected Price for a New Clothes Washer J-2
Table J-11	Distribution of Desired Price Range for a New Washer J-2
Table J-12	Choice Sensitivity to Price Change; All Respondents
Table J.13	Option Choice Sensitivity to Price Change; Respondents with
	Income Less than \$25,000 Per Year J-2
Table J.14	Option Choice Sensitivity to Price Change; Respondents
	Over 65 Years of Age J-2
Table J.15	Attribute Definitions J-2
Table J.16	Regression Coefficients and Relative Importance; All Respondents J-3
Table J.17	Regression Coefficient Estimates for Regional Subgroups
Table J.18	Regression Coefficient Estimates for Regional Subgroups
Table J.19	Relative Importance Statistics for Demographic Subgroups
Table J.20	Relative Importance Statistics for Regional Subgroups
Table J.21	Purchase Model Coefficient Estimates; Full Sample
Table J.22	Purchase Scenario for Demographic Subgroups J-3
Table J.23	Purchase Scenarios for Regional Subgroups J-3
Table J.24	All Focus Groups - 10 Groups - 90 Participants
Table J-25	Washington DC Focus Group, Combined Group, 16 Participants J-4
Table J.26	San Francisco Bay Area Focus Group, Combined Group, 18 Participants J-4
Table J.27	Madison Focus Group, Combined Group, 19 Participants J-4
Table J.28	Dallas Focus Group, Combined Group, 17 Participants
Table J.29	Miami Focus Group, Combined Group, 20 Participants
Table J.30	Top Five Attributes from Conjoint Analysis Session, 429 Respondents J-5
Table N.1	Trial Standard Level 1, MEF=1.021 (20% Reduction in Energy Use) N-
Table N.2	Trial Standard Level 1, MEF=1.021 N-
Table N.3	Trial Standard Level 2, MEF=1.089 (25% Reduction in Energy Use) N-
Table N.4	Trial Standard Level 2, MEF=1.089 N-
Table N.5	Trial Standard Level 4, MEF=1.257 (35% Reduction in Energy Use) N-
Table N.6	Trial Standard Level 4, MEF=1.257 N-
Table N.7	Trial Standard Level 5, MEF=1.362 (40% Reduction in Energy Use) N-

Table N.8	Trial Standard Level 5, MEF=1.362	N-5
Table N.9	Trial Standard Level 6, MEF=1.634 (50% Reduction in Energy Use)	N-6
Table N.10	Trial Standard Level 6, MEF=1.634	
Table N.11	Trial Standard Level 3, MEF=1.04/1.26 in 2004/2007	N-7
Table N.12	Trial Standard Level 3, MEF=1.04/1.26 in 2004/2007	N-7
Table N.13	Trial Standard Level 1, MEF=1.021 (20%, Medium Price/Income Elasticity)	N-9
Table N.14	Trial Standard Level 1, MEF=1.021	N-9
Table N.15	Trial Standard Level 2, MEF=1.089 (25%, Medium Price/Income Elasticity)	N-10
Table N.16	Trial Standard Level 2, MEF=1.089	N-10
Table N.17	Trial Standard Level 4, MEF=1.257 (35%, Medium Price/Income Elasticity)	N-11
Table N.18	Trial Standard Level 4, MEF=1.257	N-11
Table N.19	Trial Standard Level 5, MEF=1.362 (40%, Medium Price/Income Elasticity)	N-12
Table N.20	Trial Standard Level 5, MEF=1.362	N-12
Table N.21	Trial Standard Level 6, MEF=1.634 (50%, Medium Price/Income Elasticity)	N-13
Table N.22	Trial Standard Level 6, MEF=1.634	N-13
Table N.23	Trial Standard Level 3, MEF=1.04/1.26 in 2004/2007	N-14
Table N.24	Trial Standard Level 3, MEF=1.04/1.26 in 2004/2007	N-14
Table N.25	Trial Standard Level 1, MEF=1.021 (20%, High Price Elasticity)	N-15
Table N.26	Trial Standard Level 1, MEF=1.021	N-15
Table N.27	Trial Standard Level 2, MEF=1.089 (25%, High Price Elasticity)	N-16
Table N.28	Trial Standard Level 2, MEF=1.089	N-16
Table N.29	Trial Standard Level 4, MEF=1.257 (35%, High Price Elasticity)	N-17
Table N.30	Trial Standard Level 4, MEF=1.257	N-17
Table N.31	Trial Standard Level 5, MEF=1.362 (40%, High Price Elasticity)	N-18
Table N.32	Trial Standard Level 5, MEF=1.362	N-18
Table N.33	Trial Standard Level 6, MEF=1.634 (50%, High Price Elasticity)	N-19
Table N.34	Trial Standard Level 6, MEF=1.634	N-19
Table N.35	Trial Standard Level 3, MEF=1.04/1.26 in 2004/2007	N-20
Table N.36	Trial Standard Level 3, MEF=1.04/1.26 in 2004/2007	N-20
Table R.1	Summary of LCC Results	. R-3
Table R.2	Summary of Payback Period Results	. R-4
Table R.3	Rebuttable Presumption Payback in Years	. R-4
Table R.4	LCC for Trial Standard Level 3	. R-5
Table R.5	Distribution Payback Periods for Trial Standard Level 3	. R-5
Table R.6	Rebuttable Presumption Payback Periods for Trial Standard Level 3	. R-5
Table R.7	Reference Case - All Parameters Set to Medium or Average	
Table R.8	NES Results: Proposed Rule (MEF=1.04 in 2004 & MEF = 1.26 in 2007)	. R-6
Table R.9	Efficiency Level: Energy and Water Savings (proposed rule, TSL 3)	
Table R.10	NES Results: Proposed rule (MEF = $1.04$ in $2004$ & MEF = $1.26$ in $2007$ )	

# ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Table 1	Annual Power Sector Emissions for all Standards EA-6
Table 2	Cumulative emissions reductions through 2020: Power Sector EA-8
Table 3	Change in Household Emissions for all Standards EA-9
Table 4	Cumulative Emissions reductions through 2020: Households EA-10
Table 5	Cumulative Emissions reductions through 2020: Household and Power
	Sectors EA-11
Table 6	Cumulative Emissions Reductions through 2030: Household and Power
	Sectors
Table 7	Cumulative Reduction in Water Use to 2030 EA-12
Table 8	High and Low Economic Growth: Power Sector Emissions for Negotiated Standard
Table 9	High and Low Price Elasticity Scenarios: Power Sector Emissions for Negotiated Standard
Table 10	High and Low Economic Growth Change in Household Emissions for
	Negotiated Standard
Table 11	High and Low Price Elasticity: Change in Household Emissions for
	Negotiated Standard
Table 12	Cumulative Emission & Water Reduction for High & Low Economic
	Growth Sensitivities to 2030 EA-16
Table 13	Cumulative Emission & Water Reduction with Elasticity Sensitivities
	to 2030
Table EA-1.1	Estimated National Average Emission Factors for Household Fuel Combustion of Natural Gas, Fuel Oil#2, and LPG
Table EA-2.1	Estimated Upstream Emission Factors and Relative Percentages to Direct Power
	Plant Combustion Emissions
	ORY IMPACT ANALYSIS
Table RIA.1	Results for Non-regulatory Alternatives
Table RIA.2	Non-regulatory Alternative Assumptions
LIST OF FIG	GURES
Figure 2.1	Clothes Washer Rulemaking Framework
Figure 2.2	Flow Diagram of the Manufacturer Impact Analysis Methodology 2-11
Figure 3.1	Washer Market Share by Type, 1998
Figure 3.2	Producer Price Index for All Commodities and for Laundry Equipment, 1967-1998
Figure 3.3	Consumer Price Index for All Items and for Laundry Equipment, 1982-1999 . 3-8
Figure 3.4	Washing Machine Market Share by Cubic Capacity
Figure 3.5	Washing Machine Market Shares by Speed

Figure 3.6	Clothes Washer Saturation Rates (% of Household Units)	. 3-13
Figure 3.7	Water Heater and Dryer Fuel Use	
Figure 3.8	Washing Machine Industry Cost Structure as a Percentage of Revenues	. 3-16
Figure 4.1	Illustrative Input Distributions for Incremental Manufacturing Costs	4-3
Figure 5.1	Full Production Cost	5-2
Figure 5.2	Manufacturing Cost Assessment Stages	5-4
Figure 5.3	Range of Cost Premiums for H-Axis, High Efficiency V-Axis Over V-Axis	
	Baseline	5-7
Figure 5.4	Cost Composition by Washer Type	5-8
Figure 5.5	Full Production Cost Breakdown by Sub-Assembly Level	5-8
Figure 5.6	Investment Cost Breakdown by Major Manufacturing Process	5-9
Figure 5.7	Monte Carlo Simulation of Aggregated Baseline Cost	. 5-10
Figure 5.8	Monte Carlo Analysis: Relative Importance of Each Input Factor	. 5-11
Figure 5.9	Range of Cost Premiums for Low Volume Manufacturers	. 5-13
Figure 5.10	Averaged Cost Differentials by Category and Washer Type from the Means .	. 5-14
Figure 5.11	Investment Requirements by Category	. 5-15
Figure 6.1	Average Costs and Mark-ups for the Baseline Clothes Washer	6-1
Figure 6.2	Retail Mark-ups Estimated from Different Data Sources	. 6-8
Figure 7.1	Percent of Clothes Washers Surviving since Year of Original Purchase	7-5
Figure 7.2	Weighting of the Number of Washer Cycles per Year	7-6
Figure 7.3	Distribution of 1997 Marginal Residential Electricity Prices (In 1997\$)	7-9
Figure 7.4	Alternative Electricity Price Trends	
Figure 7.5	Distribution of 1997 Marginal Residential Gas Prices (In 1997\$)	
Figure 7.6	Alternative Natural Gas Price Trends	. 7-13
Figure 7.7	Alternative Oil Price Trends	
Figure 7.8	Alternative LPG Price Trends	
Figure 7.9	Water use Per Cycle vs. MEF	
Figure 7.10	1998 Urban Water & Wastewater Price Distribution, in 1997 Dollars	
Figure 7.11	1998 Urban Water Price Distribution, in 1997 Dollars	. 7-20
Figure 7.12	Water and Wastewater Price Trends	. 7-21
Figure 7.13	Distribution of Consumer Discount Rates	
Figure 7.14	MEF = 1.089, Reference Case. Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	
Figure 7.15	MEF = 1.089, Reference Case. Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	. 7-28
Figure 7.16	Baseline Life-Cycle Cost Distribution	. 7-29
Figure 7.17	Consumers Having LCC Savings for Reference,	
	High and Low Growth Scenarios	
Figure 7.18	MEF = 1.089, Reference Case—Frequency Chart of Payback Periods	. 7-35
Figure 7.19	Payback Periods for Reference, High and Low Growth Scenarios	. 7-39
Figure 8.1	Summary of Subgroup Life-Cycle Costs	8-5

Figure 8.2	Summary of Subgroup Payback Periods 8-6
Figure 9.1	Flow Diagram for Clothes Washer Shipments Model 9-3
Figure 9.2	Decision Tree for New Housing and Housing Moves 9-8
Figure 9.3	Decision Tree for Replacement and Repair of Regular Washers in Existing Housing
Figure 9.4	Probability of Survival for Regular Clothes Washer 9-12
Figure 9.5	Relative Probability of Regular Replacement, Repair, Early Replacement
118010 > 10	and No Action as a Function of Clothes Washer Age for 1997 9-13
Figure 9.6	Decision Tree for Housing Without a Washer 9-16
Figure 9.7	Decision Tree for Housing with Extended-Life Clothes Washers 9-17
Figure 9.8	Survival Probability Function for Extended Lifetime Washers 9-19
Figure 9.9	Model Development
Figure 9.10	Estimated Price vs. Savings Relation for Current Market Conditions 9-28
Figure 9.11	Inter-comparison of Models with Different Primary Economic
υ	Decision Variables
Figure 9.12	Clothes Washer Shipments Forecasts 9-33
Figure 9.13	Estimated Standards Impacts on New Clothes Washer
υ	Shipments and Sales
Figure 9.14	Estimated Standards Impacts on Used Clothes Washer
C	Shipments and Sales
Figure 9.15	Estimated Standards Impacts on Washer Age, Lifetime, and
J	Number of Repairs
Figure 10.1	Annual Cost and Savings for the Proposed Clothes Washer Rule 10-17
Figure 11.1	Using GRIM to Conduct the Cash Flow Analysis
Figure 11.2	Clothes Washer Shipment Forecasts for Base Case and the Negotiated
8	Standard Level
Figure 11.3	Implied Impact of Decline in Washer Shipments on Clothes Dryer
υ	Employment Levels
Figure 11.4	Estimated Number of Clothes Washer Repairs (High Price Elasticity
8	Shipment Scenario)
Figure 11.5	Predicted Impact on U.S. Clothes Washer Industry Employment Levels 11-62
Figure 13.1	Net National Employment Impacts
Figure 13.2	Employment Impacts of Consumer Energy and Water Savings
Figure 13.3	Employment Impact of Incremental Clothes Washer Price
Figure 13.4	Employment Impacts in Energy Supply Sector
Figure 13.5	Projected Increase in U.S. Consumer Expenditures to Purchase Clothes Washers
-6	After Standards
Figure 13.6	Projected U.S. Consumer Savings in Electricity Bills: Energy Efficiency
<i>5</i>	Standards on Clothes Washers

Figure 13.7	Projected U.S. Consumer Savings in Natural Gas Bills: Energy Efficiency Standards on Clothes Washers
Figure 13.8	Projected U.S. Consumer Savings in Oil Bills: Energy Efficiency Standards on
Figure 13.9	Clothes Washers
rigule 13.9	
Figure 13.10	
Figure 13.11	Projected Savings due to Clothes Washer Standards: Residential Oil 13-12
Figure D.1	Manufacturing Cost Assessment Approach
Figure D.2	Structured Bill of Materials
Figure D.3	Fabrication Process Cycle Time Estimates
Figure F.1	Location of 38 Cities Used for Determining Price Escalation F-2
Figure F.2	Annual Percent Change in Water & Wastewater Prices for 38 Cities (from 1986-1998, in 1997\$)
Figure F.3	Annual Percent Change in Water-Only Prices for 38 Cities (from 1986-1998, in 1997\$)
Figure F.4	Flow Chart for Water Choice in LCC Spreadsheet F-8
Figure F.5	Water and Wastewater Price Trends F-9
Figure G.1	MEF of 0.860 (5% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case
F: C 2	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences
Figure G.2	MEF of 0.860 (5% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case.  Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences
Figure G.3	MEF of 0.908 (10% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case.
1 iguic 0.5	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences G-3
Figure G.4	MEF of 0.908 (10% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case.
$\mathcal{E}$	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences G-3
Figure G.5	MEF of 0.961 (15% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case.
	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences G-4
Figure G.6	MEF of 0.961 (15% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case.
	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences
Figure G.7	MEF of 1.021 (20% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case.
F' G 0	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences
Figure G.8	MEF of 1.021 (20% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case.
Eigung C 0	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences
Figure G.9	MEF = 1.04 in 2004, Reference Case. Frequency Chart of LCC Differences
Figure G.10	MEF = 1.04 in 2004, Reference Case. Cumulative Chart of
1 15010 0.10	LCC Differences
Figure G.11	MEF of 1.089 (25% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case.

	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	. G-7
Figure G.12	MEF of 1.089 (25% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case.	
	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	. G-7
Figure G.13	MEF of 1.257 (35% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case	
	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	. G-8
Figure G.14	MEF of 1.257 (35% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case.	
	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	. G-8
Figure G.15	MEF = 1.26 in 2007, Reference Case. Frequency Chart of	
C	LCC Differences	. G-9
Figure G.16	MEF = 1.26 in 2007, Reference Case. Cumulative Chart of	
	LCC Differences	. G-9
Figure G.17	MEF of 1.362 (40% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case.	
C	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	G-10
Figure G.18	MEF of 1.362 (40% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case.	
C	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	G-10
Figure G.19	MEF of 1.485 (45% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case.	
	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	G-11
Figure G.20	MEF of 1.485 (45% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case.	
C	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	G-11
Figure G.21	MEF of 1.634 (50% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case.	
8	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	G-12
Figure G.22	MEF of 1.634 (50% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case.	
8	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	G-12
Figure G.23	MEF of 0.860 (5% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth.	
8	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	G-13
Figure G.24	MEF of 0.86 (5% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth.	
8	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	G-13
Figure G.25	MEF of 0.908 (10% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth.	
8	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	G-14
Figure G.26	MEF of 0.908 (10% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth.	
8	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	G-14
Figure G.27	MEF of 0.961 (15% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth.	
118010 3127	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	G-15
Figure G.28	MEF of 0.961 (15% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth.	
118011 0.20	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	G-15
Figure G.29	MEF of 1.021 (20% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth.	0 10
118010 3125	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	G-16
Figure G.30	MEF of 1.021 (20% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth.	0 10
118410 0.00	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	G-16
Figure G.31	MEF = 1.04 in 2004, Low Growth. Frequency Chart of	5 10
1 15010 0.01	LCC Differences	G-17
Figure G.32	MEF = 1.04, Low Growth. Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	
Figure G.33	MEF of 1.089 (25% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth.	5 17
115010 0.00	Time of 1.00% (me/o requestion in thirty obe), how offwar,	

	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	G-18
Figure G.34	MEF of 1.089 (25% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth.	
	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	G-18
Figure G.35	MEF of 1.257 (35% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth.	
_	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	G-19
Figure G.36	MEF of 1.257 (35% Reduction of Energy Use), Low Growth.	
	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	G-19
Figure G.37	MEF = 1.26 in 2007, Low Growth. Frequency Chart of LCC Differences .	G-20
Figure G.38	MEF = 1.26 in 2007, Low Growth. Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	G-20
Figure G.39	MEF of 1.362 (40% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth.	
	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	G-21
Figure G.40	MEF of 1.362 (40% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth.	
	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	G-21
Figure G.41	MEF of 1.485 (45% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth.	
	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	G-22
Figure G.42	MEF of 1.485 (45% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth.	
	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	G-22
Figure G.43	MEF of 1.634 (50% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth.	
	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	G-23
Figure G.44	MEF of 1.634 (50% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth.	
C	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	G-23
Figure G.45	MEF of 0.860 (5% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth.	
C	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	G-24
Figure G.46	MEF of 0.86 (5% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth.	
C	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	G-24
Figure G.47	MEF of 0.908 (10% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth.	
C	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	G-25
Figure G.48	MEF of 0.908 (10% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth.	
C	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	G-25
Figure G.49	MEF of 0.961 (15% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth.	
	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	G-26
Figure G.50	MEF of 0.961 (15% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth.	
C	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	G-26
Figure G.51	MEF of 1.021 (20% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth.	
	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	G-27
Figure G.52	MEF of 1.021 (20% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth.	
C	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	G-27
Figure G.53	MEF = 1.04 in 2004, High Growth. Frequency Chart of	
C	LCC Differences	G-28
Figure G.54	MEF = 1.04 in 2007, High Growth. Cumulative Chart of	
2	LCC Differences	G-28
Figure G.55	MEF of 1.089 (25% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth.	
	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	G-29
Figure G.56	MEF of 1.089 (25% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth.	

	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	G-29
Figure G.57	MEF of 1.257 (35% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth.	
	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	G-30
Figure G.58	MEF of 1.257 (35% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth.	
	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	G-30
Figure G.59	MEF = 1.26 in 2007, High Growth. Frequency Chart of	
	LCC Differences	G-31
Figure G.60	MEF = 1.26 in 2007, High Growth. Cumulative Chart of	
	LCC Differences	G-31
Figure G.61	MEF of 1.362 (40% Reduction in Energy Usage), High Growth.	
	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	G-32
Figure G.62	MEF of 1.362 (40% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth.	
	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	G-32
Figure G.63	MEF of 1.485 (45% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth.	
	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	G-33
Figure G.64	MEF of 1.485 (45% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth.	
	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	G-33
Figure G.65	MEF of 1.634 (50% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth.	
	Frequency Chart of LCC Differences	G-34
Figure G.66	MEF of 1.634 (50% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth.	
	Cumulative Chart of LCC Differences	G-34
Figure H.1	MEF of 0.860 (5% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case	. H-2
Figure H.2	MEF of 0.908 (10% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case	. H-2
Figure H.3	MEF of 0.961 (15% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case	. H-3
Figure H.4	MEF of 1.021 (20% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case	. H-3
Figure H.5	MEF of 1.04 in 2004, Reference Case	. H-4
Figure H.6	MEF of 1.089 (25% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case	. H-4
Figure H.7	MEF of 1.257 (35% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case	. H-5
Figure H.8	MEF = 1.26 in 2007, Reference Case	. H-5
Figure H.9	MEF of 1.362 (40% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case	. H-6
Figure H.10	MEF of 1.485 (45% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case	
Figure H.11	MEF of 1.634 (50% Reduction in Energy Use), Reference Case	. H-7
Figure H.12	MEF of 0.860 (5% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth	
Figure H.13	MEF of 0.908 (10% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth	
Figure H.14	MEF of 0.961 (15% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth	
Figure H.15	MEF of 1.021 (20% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth	
Figure H.16	MEF = 1.04 in 2004, Low Growth	
Figure H.17	MEF of 1.089 (25% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth	
Figure H.18	MEF of 1.257 (35% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth	
Figure H.19	MEF = 1.26 in 2007, Low Growth	
Figure H.20	MEF of 1.362 (40% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth	
Figure H.21	MEF of 1.485 (45% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Growth	H-12

Figure H.22	MEF of 1.634 (50% Reduction of Energy Use), Low Growth	H-13
Figure H.23	MEF of 0.860 (5% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth	H-14
Figure H.24	MEF of 0.908 (10% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth	H-14
Figure H.25	MEF of 0.961 (15% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth	H-15
Figure H.26	MEF of 1.021 (20% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth	H-15
Figure H.27	MEF = 1.04 in 2004, High Growth	H-16
Figure H.28	MEF of 1.089 (25% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth	H-16
Figure H.29	MEF of 1.257 (35% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth	H-17
Figure H.30	MEF = 1.26 in 2007	H-18
Figure H.31	MEF of 1.362 (40% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth	H-18
Figure H.32	MEF of 1.485 (45% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth	H-19
Figure H.33	MEF of 1.634 (50% Reduction in Energy Use), High Growth	H-19
Figure K.1	MEF of 0.860 (5% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	. K-2
Figure K.2	MEF of 0.860 (5% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	
Figure K.3	MEF of 0.908 (10% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	
Figure K.4	MEF of 0.908 (10% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	. K-3
Figure K.5	MEF of 0.961 (15% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	
Figure K.6	MEF of 0.961 (15% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	
Figure K.7	MEF of 1.021 (20% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	
Figure K.8	MEF of 1.021 (20% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	
Figure K.9	MEF = 1.04 in 2004, Low Income	
Figure K.10	MEF = 1.04 in 2004, Low Income	
Figure K.11	MEF of 1.089 (25% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	
Figure K.12	MEF of 1.089 (25% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	
Figure K.13	MEF of 1.257 (35% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	
Figure K.14	MEF of 1.257 (35% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	
Figure K.15	MEF = 1.26 in 2007, Low Income	
Figure K.16	MEF of 1.26 in 2007, Low Income	
Figure K.17	MEF of 1.362 (40% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	
Figure K.18	MEF of 1.362 (40% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	
Figure K.19	MEF of 1.485 (45% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	K-11
Figure K.20	MEF of 1.485 (45% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	K-11
Figure K.21	MEF of 1.634 (50% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	K-12
Figure K.22	MEF of 1.634 (50% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	K-12
Figure K.23	MEF of 0.860 (5% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	K-13
Figure K.24	MEF of 0.860 (5% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	K-13
Figure K.25	MEF of 0.908 (10% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	K-14
Figure K.26	MEF of 0.908 (10% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	K-14
Figure K.27	MEF of 0.961 (15% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	K-15
Figure K.28	MEF of 0.961 (15% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	K-15
Figure K.29	MEF of 1.021 (20% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	K-16

Figure K.30	MEF of 1.021 (20% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	K-16
Figure K.31	MEF = 1.04 in 2004, Senior	
Figure K.32	MEF = 1.04 in 2004, Senior	K-17
Figure K.33	MEF of 1.089 (25% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	K-18
Figure K.34	MEF of 1.089 (25% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	K-18
Figure K.35	MEF of 1.257 (35% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	K-19
Figure K.36	MEF of 1.257 (35% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	K-19
Figure K.37	MEF = 1.26 in 2007, Senior	K-20
Figure K.38	MEF = 1.26 in 2007, Senior	K-20
Figure K.39	MEF of 1.362 (40% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	K-21
Figure K.40	MEF of 1.362 (40% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	K-21
Figure K.41	MEF of 1.485 (45% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	K-22
Figure K.42	MEF of 1.485 (45% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	K-22
Figure K.43	MEF of 1.634 (50% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	K-23
Figure K.44	MEF of 1.634 (50% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	K-23
Figure L.1	MEF of 0.860 (5% Reduction in Energy Usage), Low Income	. L-2
Figure L.2	MEF of 0.908 (10% Reduction in Energy Consumption), Low Income	
Figure L.3	MEF of 0.961 (15% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	
Figure L.4	MEF of 1.021 (20% Reduction in Energy Usage), Low Income	. L-3
Figure L.5	MEF of 1.04 in 2004, Low Income	
Figure L.6	MEF of 1.089 (25% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	. L-4
Figure L.7	MEF of 1.257 (35% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	. L-5
Figure L.8	MEF = 1.26 in 2007, Low Income	
Figure L.9	MEF of 1.362 (40% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	
Figure L.10	MEF of 1.485 (45% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	
Figure L.11	MEF of 1.634 (50% Reduction in Energy Use), Low Income	
Figure L.12	MEF of 0.86 (5% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	
Figure L.13	MEF of 0.908 (10% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	
Figure L.14	MEF of 0.961 (15% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	
Figure L.15	MEF of 1.021 (20% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	
Figure L.16	MEF = 1.04 in 2004, Senior	
Figure L.17	MEF of 1.089 (25% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	L-10
Figure L.18	MEF of 1.257 (35% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	L-11
Figure L.19	MEF = 1.26 in 2007. Senior	
Figure L.20	MEF of 1.362 (40% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	L-12
Figure L.21	MEF of 1.485 (45% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	
Figure L.22	MEF of 1.634 (50% Reduction in Energy Use), Senior	
Figure M.1	Shipments Forecasts for Medium Elasticity Price/income Shipments Model .	M-1
Figure M.2	Shipments Forecasts for Medium Elasticity Price-driven Model	
Figure M.3	Shipments Forecasts for High Elasticity Price-driven Model	
Figure N.1	Trial Standard Level 1. MEF= 1.021	N-2

Figure N.2	Trial Standard Level 2, MEF=1.089
Figure N.3	Trial Standard Level 4, MEF=1.257 N-4
Figure N.4	Trial Standard Level 5, MEF = 1.362
Figure N.5	Trial Standard Level 6, MEF = 1.634
Figure N.6	Trial Standard Level 3, MEF = 1.04/1.26
	Trial Standard Level 1, MEF= 1.021
	Trial Standard Level 2, MEF= 1.089 N-9
	Trial Standard Level 4, MEF= 1.257 N-10
	Trial Standard Level 5, MEF= 1.362 N-11
	Trial Standard Level 6, MEF= 1.634 N-12
Figure N.12	Trial Standard Level 3, MEF= 1.04/1.26 in 2004/2007 N-13
Figure N.13	Trial Standard Level 1, MEF= 1.021 N-14
Figure N.14	Trial Standard Level 1, MEF= 1.021 N-15
Figure N.15	Trial Standard Level 4, MEF= 1.257 N-16
Figure N.16	Trial Standard Level 5, MEF= 1.362
Figure N.17	Trial Standard Level 6, MEF= 1.634
Figure N.18	Trial Standard Level 3, MEF= 1.04/1.26 in 2004/2007 N-19
Figure R.1	Distribution of Life-Cycle-Costs Savings for an MEF of 1.26
Figure R.2	Distribution of Payback Periods for a MEF of 1.26
Figure EA-3.1a	An Example of the Interpolation of a Trial Standard Level: Difference in
	Coal Capacity
_	c Close-Up of the Interpolation of Trial Standard Level X2 from X1 EA.3-5
Figure EA-3.2	1
Figure EA-3.1a	1
	Difference in Coal Capacity
Figure EA-3.11	1
	Level X2 from X1
Figure EA-3.2	Example of Trial Standard Level X1: Marginal NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions EA.3-5